PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom th	ie NATIONAL SEARC	CHING AUTHO	PRITY	(°)	REC'D 12 MAY 2005	
To:				K	P (VIPO PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	ant's or agent's file reform PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
Intern	national application N	o.	International filing date (d	ayimonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) (31.03.2004	
Interr	national Patent Class	ification (IPC) or	both national classification :	and IPC		
G01 Appli	G19/387, G01G1		,,,,		·	
SCA	ANVAEGT INTER	RNATIONAL	A/S			
:	·					
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ions relating to the foll	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion	•		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			the trade and implify	
	Box No. III			ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention			
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability;	atement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> : citations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s	l to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain docu				
i	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international ap	plication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the Internatio	nal application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				
	written opinion of the applicant charactional Bu will not be so co	of the Internation ooses an Auth reau under Ru onsidered.	ority other than this one t le 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written	o be the IPEA and opinions of this Inte	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority	
	If this opinion is submit to the IP months from the whichever expir	EA a written re e date of maillr	bove, considered to be a oply together, where appr ng of Form PCT/ISA/220 c	written opinion of topriate, with amenor before the expira	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to dments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further option	ons, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.		•	
3.			to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
		_				
				Authorized Office	91	
Na -	me and mailing addr	ess of the ISA:		ABBRITZEG CITIC	September 5	
	Europea	n Patent Office - HV Rijswijk - Pa	P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Ganci, P		
-	Tel. +31	70 340 - 2040 T	x: 31 651 epo nl	1	-31 70 340-3384	
	Fax: +31	70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. 4		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2005/000195

	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	the langua	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langu: (unde	r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	. With regar	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material:
	□а	sequence listing
	□ ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material:
	· 🗆 in	written format
	. 🗀 in	computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing:
		ontained in the international application as filed.
	□ fi	led together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ ft	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
;	has	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as copriate, were furnished.
	4. Addition:	al comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-12

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-12

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

1-12

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO-A-9608322

- 2. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 and 10 are not clear.
- 2.1 The expression "....within a predetermined decision time period..." used in claims 1 and 10 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 2.2 It is clear from the description on page 7, line 30 page 8, line 1 that the following feature is essential to the definition of the invention:
- (a) "...to delay the decision and calculate the best choise forsimultaneously to allocate both articles.....together into position......"

Since independent claims 1 and 10 does not contain this feature it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

3. NOVELTY

3.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method for batching articles having different weights into a plurality of batches at a number of collection positions, wherein each completed batch comprises a plurality of articles and has a sum weight within a predetermined weight range, said

method including:

- providing a serial flow of articles on a conveyor;
- weighing each article in the flow and recording the weights of the articles;
- conveying the recorded articles to a batching section;
- allocating the recorded articles to a collection position within a predetermined decision time period;
- placing an allocated article in the predetermined collection position; and emptying a collection position when the predetermined sum weight is obtained (see abstract, fig. 1).
- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from document D1 in that comprises the step of:
- said allocation is performed on the basis of the weight of the recorded articles that are not yet positioned in one of the collection positions, and the content of the predetermined collection position.
- 3.3 Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 is new and meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.4 The same arguments apply to the corresponding apparatus claim 10, which also meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

4. INVENTIVE STEP

- 4.1 The subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 is not disclosed or suggested by document D1, therefore the subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 meet the requirement of Art. 33 (3) PCT.
- 4.2 Claims 2-9,11-12 are dependent claims and thus meet the requirements of Art. 33 (3) PCT.

5. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

5.1 The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 10 and the subject-matter of the dependent claims 2-9,11-12 meet the requirement of Art. 33(4) PCT.

International application No.

PCT/DK2005/000195

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the TERNATIONAL SEARC	CHING ALITHORITY		REC'D 12 M	1AY 2005	
	AND ADTIONS 1	7 ^R	POMPO	PCT	
Го:		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF 1	HE	
see form PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) s	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second	sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file resee form PCT/ISA/22		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	low		
International application N PCT/DK2005/000195		e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/ye 31.03.2004	ear) 	
	ification (IPC) or both national classification	on and IPC			
Applicant SCANVAEGT INTER	RNATIONAL A/S				
1. This opinion co	ntains indications relating to the f	following items:			
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		·		
Box No. II	Priority Non-establishment of opinion with r	regard to novelty. inve	ntive step and industrial app	olicability	
Box No. III	Lack of unity of invention	·			
☐ Box No. IV 図 Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 applicability; citations and explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard ions supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step o tatement	r industrial	
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		• •		
☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the International	application			
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the interna	ational application			
2. FURTHER ACT	ION	•			
written opinion of the applicant ch International Bu will not be so co	international preliminary examination of the International Preliminary Exam ooses an Authority other than this on treau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that writte onsidered.	ne to be the IPEA and en opinions of this Inte	the chosen IPEA has notife mational Searching Author	d the ity	
If this opinion is submit to the IP months from the whichever expir	, as provided above, considered to b EA a written reply together, where a e date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/22 res later.	e a written opinion of t ppropriate, with amenc 20 or before the expirat	the IPEA, the applicant is in diments, before the expirational alternation of 22 months from the p	on of three orlority date,	
For further option	ons, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
	alls, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing add	ress of the ISA:	Authorized Office	er .	September Former	
NL-2280 Tel, +31	n Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 hV Rijswijk - Pays Bas 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl I 70 340 - 3016	Ganci, P	31 70 340-3384	Nation and National Property of the Party of	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2005/000195

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
١.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
	☐ a sequence listing
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2005/000195

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No.

PCT/DK2005/000195

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO-A-9608322

- 2. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 and 10 are not clear.
- 2.1 The expression "....within a predetermined decision time period..." used in claims 1 and 10 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 2.2 It is clear from the description on page 7, line 30 page 8, line 1 that the following feature is essential to the definition of the invention:
- (a) "...to **delay the decision** and calculate the best choise forsimultaneously to allocate both articles.....together into position....."

Since independent claims 1 and 10 does not contain this feature it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

3. NOVELTY

3.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method for batching articles having different weights into a plurality of batches at a number of collection positions, wherein each completed batch comprises a plurality of articles and has a sum weight within a predetermined weight range, said

International application No.

PCT/DK2005/000195

method including:

- providing a serial flow of articles on a conveyor;
- weighing each article in the flow and recording the weights of the articles;
- conveying the recorded articles to a batching section;
- allocating the recorded articles to a collection position within a predetermined decision time period;
- placing an allocated article in the predetermined collection position; and emptying a collection position when the predetermined sum weight is obtained (see abstract, fig. 1).
- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from document D1 in that comprises the step of:
- said allocation is performed on the basis of the weight of the recorded articles that are not yet positioned in one of the collection positions, and the content of the predetermined collection position.
- 3.3 Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 is new and meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.4 The same arguments apply to the corresponding apparatus claim 10, which also meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

4. INVENTIVE STEP

- 4.1 The subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 is not disclosed or suggested by document D1, therefore the subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 meet the requirement of Art. 33 (3) PCT.
- 4.2 Claims 2-9,11-12 are dependent claims and thus meet the requirements of Art. 33 (3) PCT.

5. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

5.1 The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 10 and the subject-matter of the dependent claims 2-9,11-12 meet the requirement of Art. 33(4) PCT.

International application No.

PCT/DK2005/000195